

CHESS FEDERATION OF CANADA GOVERNORS' LETTER FOUR 2001-2002



Responses may be mailed, faxed or E-mailed to the Chess Federation of Canada, E-1 2212
Gladwin Crescent, Ottawa, ON, K1B 5N1, fax: 613-733-5209, E-Mail: info@chess.ca

ATTENTION ALL GOVERNORS: Anyone with an E-Mail address can have their Governors' Letter sent to them via E-Mail and save the CFC paper and postage costs. Please E-Mail info@chess.ca if interested.

Deadline for next Governors' Letter is May 20, 2002

GL #5 will be the last Governor's Letter of the year and will appear in mid-June containing the results of the votes from this GL as well as proxies and other info for the AGM. All other motions will be called for a vote in that GL.

CFC TOURNAMENT DIRECTOR CERTIFICATION PROGRAM

Anyone interested in working with a group of volunteers and the business office to re-establish this important program should contact me at fred_mckim@hotmail.com I've had a couple of volunteers and am still looking for one or two others.

Fred McKim
Secretary
Chess Federation of Canada

NEW MOTIONS

Straw Vote 02-4: (Regimbald) That the content of articles submitted to En Passant for inclusion within the Across Canada section be left in their original form, with the exception of formatting and glaring errors.

A. Regimbald: Many articles submitted for Across Canada are created with a certain "local flavor". This includes expressions, anecdotes, jokes, etc. which may only be meaningful to the readers of the province that the article is intended for. Most (if not all) of this content is being edited out of En Passant. I think that this is a shame. Most members reading Across Canada articles are reading them for local content. These readers come to expect a certain style of article from the TDs of the tournaments they participate in, and enjoy reading all of the funny anecdotes, jokes, etc. There is some argument that this "local flavor" will be unappreciated by members from other provinces, but for the most part, members from other provinces would not be reading it in the first place.

The exact extent of this "problem" hit me when I looked through the last issue of En Passant hoping to see the article I had submitted. It had been changed so much that it was almost unrecognizable. I talked with a number of people who had attended the tournament which the report was for, and most of them expressed disappointment over how bland the report in En Passant was compared to my normal writing. The target audience for an Across Canada article is

presumably the members who live in the province that the tournament took place in. So I think it only makes sense to cater to the target audience, and leave the local flavor in the articles.

MOTIONS FOR DISCUSSION

Motion 02-3: (Gebhardt/McKillop) That the CFC start accepting bids for a tournament run for the purpose of determining qualification spots to the World Amateur Championship.

D. Gebhardt: Background: The World Amateur Championship is run every year by FIDE and is open to anyone without a FIDE rating. I have personally played twice in this tournament when it was part of the Hastings Chess Congress in England. It has now started moving around the world and has since been held in Spain and Brazil (the Bengawwans went to Brazil this year).

This is a single section event with the top finisher receiving an FM title and FIDE rating of 2200. The top female receives a WFM title and a FIDE rating of 2050. The second place finisher receives a FIDE rating of 2100 and the third place finisher receives a FIDE rating of 2050.

The qualification tournament would simply be to decide which two players would be nominees of the CFC. (nominees receive free entry to the World Amateur Championship). The tournament entry fee less expenses could be used to reimburse (partially or fully, depending on number of entrants and location of the World Amateur Championship tournament) one participant's travel and lodging expenses. If there was any money left over, it could be used to reimburse, partially or fully, the second entrant's travel and lodging expenses.

This tournament is a great experience and, the two years I played in it, was very competitive. It would be a great opportunity for some of the stronger non-FIDE rated players to gain some international experience and have some fun against new competition.

Motion 02-4. [Formerly Straw Vote Topic 02-1]: (Peter McKillop/Dave Gebhardt) Regarding candidates for positions on the CFC executive:

a) Any member of the CFC who wishes to stand for election to a position on the CFC's executive committee, and who is eligible to do so in accordance with the applicable CFC bylaws, rules and regulations, must declare his or her candidacy for

such office by notifying the CFC's Secretary in writing (e-mail is acceptable) prior to the submission deadline for the June issue of En Passant Magazine. If there is only one declared candidate for a particular position on the CFC's executive committee, then that candidate will be deemed to have been elected by acclamation. If there is no declared candidate for a position on the CFC's executive committee then, at the next Annual General Meeting of the CFC, the incoming Assembly of Governors will, in whatever manner it deems fair and expedient, select a person to fill the vacant position.

b) In the June issue of En Passant Magazine each year, the CFC will publish the names and contact particulars (mail address and e-mail address, if available) of all declared candidates for positions on the CFC's executive committee together with a brief statement (not to exceed 200 words) from each candidate. A candidate should limit the brief statement to his/her qualifications for the position s/he is seeking and his/her position on key issues.

P. McKillop: Publishing the names of candidates for executive offices and incoming governors in the June issue of En Passant will give CFC members an opportunity to voice their preferences/concerns to their local incoming governors prior to the CFC's AGM, thus (hopefully) making the whole process somewhat more democratic than it is now. The current process seems to entail, generally speaking, the identities of candidates not being available to the general membership prior to the AGM. I feel this is unacceptable for any organization which claims to represent the interests of its members. Referring to Section 2, II, 6 of the CFC's Handbook, publication of candidates'/incoming governors' names in the June issue of EP would be consistent with the CFC's stated object of publishing "...a medium from which chess players may learn of the progress of chess in Canada...".

Motion 02-5. [Formerly Straw Vote Topic 02-2]:
(Peter McKillop/ Dave Gebhardt) Regarding nominees for CFC Governorships:

a) All affiliates of the CFC who are entitled to nominate individuals for the position of CFC Governor must submit the names of their nominees in writing to the CFC's Secretary prior to the submission deadline for the June issue of En Passant. If the number of nominees named by an affiliate is less than the number to which the affiliate is entitled then, at the next Annual General Meeting of the CFC, the incoming Assembly of Governors will, in whatever manner it deems fair and expedient, select a person(s)

to fill the vacant governorship(s).

b) In the June issue of En Passant Magazine each year, the CFC will publish the names and contact particulars of all incoming governors.

P. McKillop: (see discussion for Motion 02-4)

Motion 02-6 (Peter McKillop/Dave Gebhardt) That the rules, regulations and bylaws of the CFC be amended as necessary in order to put the following into effect:

a) Establish a permanent sub-committee of the Board of Directors and name it the Finance Committee.

b) The permanent members of the Finance Committee shall be the President, the Treasurer and the Executive Director.

c) The Chair of the Finance Committee shall be the Treasurer.

d) In those cases where the Finance Committee cannot reach a decision on the basis of consensus, a majority vote by the permanent members of the Finance Committee shall decide.

e) The permanent members of the Finance Committee shall have the power to appoint, as they deem useful and expedient, additional Directors and/or Governors to temporary membership in the Finance Committee.

f) The primary and permanent responsibility of the Finance Committee shall be the preparation of an annual budget. Specifically, by not later than March 15th of each year, the Finance Committee shall present to the Board of Directors of the CFC the Finance Committee's recommended budget for the next fiscal year. The recommended budget must take into consideration all revenue and expense categories and must include a listing of the assumptions used by the Finance Committee in arriving at the budgeted figures.

g) It shall be the responsibility of the Board of Directors to consider the Finance Committee's recommended budget, amend it as necessary, and approve a finalized budget by not later than April 30th each year.

h) The finalized budget, together with a listing of the assumptions used, shall be made available to all CFC members at the CFC's website by May 31st of each year.

i) Any additional duties or responsibilities to be assigned to the Finance Committee shall require the approval of the Assembly of Governors by a majority vote.

P. McKillop: Never have I encountered a successful business or not-for-profit association (over the years I've been a member of the boards of 4 such associations, excluding the CFC and OCA) which didn't undertake a rigorous budgeting exercise as part of an overall planning process for its next fiscal year. Even if the CFC doesn't have a longer term (i.e. multi-year) plan, the discipline of having to budget annually for the next fiscal year will, if handled responsibly, impose on our Board of Directors the need to at least develop a short term (one year) plan. That plan, in some years, may simply consist of maintaining the status quo. Still, that is better than no plan at all. Our annual revenues are in the \$350,000 range. That is a significant amount of money. We need to have some reasonably well defined idea of where we're headed, even if it is only for one year ahead. Regarding the timing I'm proposing, anyone who is involved in business knows that, all other factors being equal, you make your best efforts to complete your major planning for the next fiscal year prior to the end of the current fiscal year. The posting of the approved budget at the CFC's website will allow interested members to review it well in advance of the AGM. If any member should have a specific concern, s/he can pass that along to her/his local incoming governor along with a request that the matter be addressed at the AGM (that doesn't mean that it will be addressed but a member should at least have an opportunity to be informed and to make informed requests of her/his local governor).

Motion 02-7 (Peter McKillop/ Dave Gebhardt) By June 30th in each year, the CFC's reviewed, or audited (as the case may be), financial statements prepared as at the immediately preceding fiscal year-end shall be made available to all CFC members at the CFC's website.

P. McKillop: For reasons similar to those outlined in my commentary to motion 02-7, members should have an opportunity to be informed about the CFC's results for the most recent fiscal year prior to the AGM. Regarding the proposed deadline of June 30th, I'd like to make it earlier but time has to be allowed for the CFC's chartered accountant to complete the financial statements.

Motion 02-8: (Peter McKillop/ Dave Gebhardt) Any expenditure which will cause the CFC to exceed the amount approved in the annual budget for the pertinent expense category, or which will add to an

existing excess in the pertinent expense category, will require the prior approval of: a) the Board of Directors if the amount of the proposed excess plus any existing excess will represent less than 10% of the annual budget for the pertinent expense category; b) the Assembly of Governors if the amount of the proposed excess plus any existing excess will represent 10% or more of the annual budget for the pertinent expense category.

P. McKillop: There isn't much point in going through a formal budgeting process if the budget will then be ignored during the course of the new fiscal year. There will always be unexpected expenditures or expenditures which were overlooked during the budgeting process. This motion is an attempt to bring some accountability to the process by imposing constraints on free spending while at the same time allowing for some reasonable flexibility (e.g. Board of Directors approve excesses up to a certain level).

MOTIONS for VOTE

Motion 02-1: (Peter McKillop/Tony Ficzero): Regarding Canadian Youth Chess Championships, that the CFC's policies and procedures with respect to such events be amended such that:

a) There will be a single championship tournament for each age group. Boys and girls in each age group will compete together.

b) The prioritization of subsidies, if any, granted by the CFC to assist with the travel expenses of players playing in any section (Open or Girls) of the World Youth Chess Championships will be as follows:

First priority: the reigning first place finisher in each age group of the CYCC, starting with the U18 group and working down to the U10 group.

Second priority: the reigning second place finisher in each age group of the CYCC, starting with the U18 group and working down to the U10 group.

Subsequent priorities: as determined by the CFC at its sole discretion.

Tony Ficzero: With only a handful of women players in this country, it seems to make little sense to run separate events at this time. Anyone who believes that women are intellectually inferior to men are wrong. The reason women don't play as well as men is that they are not interested in the game.

Simple. We should be working at promoting chess to women and not segregating as this only hampers a woman's development in the game, and as the records in the CFC database will prove, this approach has done nothing to bring more women members into the CFC. Hazel Smith did exceptional at the WYCC, one wonders how she would have done against everyone else in her age group. I can assume that Hazel plays at her current strength not because she is playing strong women players, but because she has been playing in mixed events. In general, having separate women's events does nothing to promote chess among women.

Motion 02-2: (Lyle Craver/Ken Craft) Addition to CFC Bylaw 1, paragraph 13 concerning eligibility of members for CFC Governorship:

Any provincially elected Governor found not to be a CFC member in good standing shall have his/her voting privileges suspended. Once suspended the governor and his provincial body shall be notified and requested to bring his/her membership into good standing not later than 30 days from his/her election or expiration of membership.

In the event that the Governor's membership still not be in good standing 30 days after the suspension, the president of the provincial / territorial organisation and the Governor shall be notified that the Governor's seat is declared vacant. A request that a by-election be held to fill the vacancy shall also be made to the provincial / territorial organisation."

L.Craver: Rationale: Non-members of the CFC should not be voting on CFC business period - and I think the procedure indicated above is reasonably and fair given how members typically renew their CFC membership. I would suspect (and should probably ask BCCF president Bruce Harper who is a lawyer or some other lawyer in our assembly) that there might be liability issues if we allow non-members in our assembly.

Straw Vote Topic 02-3: (Gordon Taylor) That a committee be struck to do a feasibility study into ways by which the Board of Governors can conduct the business of the Chess Federation of Canada via Internet. If approved, said committee shall report their findings to the Board within three months.

G.Taylor: A CFC member recently sent me an email suggesting that the business of the CFC could be better conducted through the medium of the

Internet. He suggested Internet conferencing, which I have to admit I am not entirely familiar with. But I think most of us are familiar with message boards such as ChessTalk (<http://www.chesstalk.com>) and how it operates. In any case the possibilities are limitless and, sooner or later, are certain to become standard practice for most organizations. Let's look into it now. Accordingly I propose this motion.

The blunt reality today is that the Governors' Letter does not function well in so far as most of the dealings of the Federation are concerned. Most decisions are left, either explicitly or implicitly, with the Executive. In today's electronic age this need not be the case.

The foremost objection to the Board conducting its business in this manner at present is that not all Governors enjoy Internet access. But if we agree that change is required, or desirable, then the provincial associations will simply have to make it a requirement that the people they choose to serve as Governors do have access. More than half our Governors are effectively inactive already so I doubt those inconvenienced would be much missed. Also, most public libraries now provide free Internet access to the public, albeit for fixed time allotments only, so that even those few without access to the Internet at home can enter into the decision making process with but a little effort.

DISCUSSION ON MOTIONS

Please see earlier Governors' Letters for previous discussion on these motions.

Motion 02-1

L.Craver: Vote No for the reasons explained by Mr. Stockhausen. Should FIDE change the format of the WYCC we should reexamine this motion.

A. Mendrinos: Agree

Motion 02-2

L.Craver: Vote Yes - the Handbook specifies who is an eligible candidate to be a Governor already but several provincial assemblies have nominated ineligible candidates. This is a situation not covered by the Handbook which this motion seeks to address.

CFC Membership is NOT hard to obtain and I would

have concerns with a candidate for Governor who did not see fit to keep his/her membership current. I strongly disagree with Mr. Bowes that constant changes of personnel would result since generally speaking Governors are expected to be the most enthusiastic and interested members of the Federation. I also disagree with Mr. Bowes that provincial associations should be able to nominate non-members for election as this is not now allowed by the Handbook although we currently have no mechanism in place for the President or the Assembly to reject an unqualified nominee. As a point of order I would reject the wording given to this motion on the last page of the GL as the Handbook makes clear that non-members are not eligible to become Governors.

A. Mendrinis: Agree

Motion 02-3

A.Regimbald: In favor. There are not many opportunities for amateur players to participate in a major international tournament, so I think this would be a wonderful opportunity for the winners of the suggested qualification tournament. Besides this, there is a major void of incentive for amateur players in terms of working towards a big tournament. For titled and stronger players, there is the prospect of competing in the Canadian Closed and then moving on to the World Championship. Sure, similar opportunities exist on the amateur level, but without some method of subsidizing the costs, the average amateur likely would be unable to participate. All along the path to the Canadian Closed and World Championship there exists subsidizing from the provincial organizations and the CFC. No such mechanisms exist for supporting amateur players to make it to an international event, and this seems like a wonderful way to fill that void. To make the decision even simpler, with the subsidizing money coming from the tournament itself, there won't be any significant financial burden on the CFC to make this a reality.

L.Craver: No Objection. Vote Yes.

R. Bowes: This is an excellent initiative and should be pursued by the CFC.

A. Mendrinis: Yes

Motion 02-4 [Formerly Straw Vote 02-1]

A.Regimbald: Opposed. I think it's fair to ask candidates for executive positions to indicate their

intention to run in advance, and I also think that it's a good idea to inform the membership of these individuals. However, I disagree completely with the idea of putting this list within En Passant. Most members read En Passant for chess and news content, and wouldn't appreciate having a large section for politics being added in. I'd be in favor if the medium for announcing this list was different - for example the web page - but not En Passant.

L.Craver: Vote No primarily because I believe the desired changes require a constitutional amendment and not just a simple GL motion. Given the current relatively early June EP deadline I believe motions 02-4 through 02-7 are unworkable.

H. Brodie: In a perfect world, it would be great to know all the candidates in advance. As a first step, we could ask all members of the current Executive: "Will you run for a position at the next Annual meeting?" and also encourage other prospective candidates (proposed and seconded) to step forward as well. At least we would have a vague idea as to who might be running for the new Executive.

A. Mendrinis: Yes

G.Taylor: This proposal strikes me as too rigid for the CFC. It seems similar to the rules used when Alumni elect members to their University's Board of Governors. My experience at CFC Annual Meetings is that quite often the good candidates only come forth at the meeting itself, usually as a result of some strong arm twisting. Since this often works out well I'm not sure I can support a Motion that would remove this potential avenue of recruitment. It can be an advantage for a candidate to announce their intention of running at an early date, and to state their platform and experience in writing. But I don't feel it should be a requirement

Motion 02-5 [Formerly Straw Vote 02-2]

A.Regimbald: Opposed. I like the idea of informing the membership of the nominees for governors positions, but not the regulations on the timeline that the nominees must be announced by the provincial organizations. With various provincial organizations determining their governors on their own time schedule, this could cause a lot of scheduling problems. It might still be nice to publish a list of nominees for the membership to see, but as per my comments on 02-4, not within En Passant.

L.Craver: Vote No. If passed this would require our provincial affiliate to reschedule our Annual General Meeting from our present date about three weeks after our financial year-end to before our year-end. As provincial treasurer I have to say that this is NOT a good thing.

A. Mendrinos: It is OK

G.Taylor: Frankly I don't see much change here. Affiliates are already under an obligation to choose their Incoming Governors well before the Annual Meeting I think. And at every AM the Board tries to fill vacancies where it can. Still, the added discipline could help. But can we dictate such matters to our Affiliates? Suppose they take umbrage?

Motion 02-6

A.Regimbald: In favor. I agree that having a financial plan for the CFC is something which we should aim for, and this seems like a good way to achieve that.

L.Craver: Vote No. As CFC Treasurer this would put me in a position of authority above the President in the budgeting process. Assuming the unusual situation of the Treasurer NOT being in accord with the President this would create an insidious situation which I think would be destructive to the CFC.

It should further be noted that April 30 is a poor date for the finalized budget as the annual audit has usually not even started by then. My Treasurer's report was the last of the Executive received by the President last year primarily due to the late date the financial statements were available to me.

Don't get me wrong - I like the idea but the proposed dates just don't fly from an operational point of view.

R. Bowes: A sensible idea - long over due. I fully support it.

A. Mendrinos: Good idea.

G.Taylor: Now this Motion I like a lot. Good financial planning should be the rock on which our organization is based. I agree that this should be standard practice. The financial discipline it imposes can only be good.

Motion 02-7

A.Regimbald: In favor. I agree that members should

be able to view the budget of the CFC. It is after all the members who fund the CFC, so it makes sense for them to be able to see what is being done with the CFC's money.

L.Craver: Vote No. Once again, the CFC financial statements were just out at that date last year. Obviously these should have been available earlier but had this motion been in place last year, I as Treasurer would have seen the statements on the web site before I had received a copy.

R. Bowes: I support this idea.

A. Mendrinos: Very good.

G.Taylor: Sounds like a no-brainer.

Motion 02-8

A.Regimbald: Opposed. While I agree that there should be some checks and balances to how money is spent where not covered by the budget, I think that this motion is too restrictive. For example, what happens in the case where a major unexpected expense that would form more than a 10% excess needs to be paid immediately? The governors can't make an immediate approval due to logistics, so this could represent a significant problem.

L.Craver: Vote No. I believe this motion would hamstring the CFC given the timeframe the Assembly of Governors typically works to. To be workable the "turn-around time" of the Governors would have to be considerable shorter than presently. As an example - suppose book sales are up 30% in the first two months of the new fiscal year. If sustained over a considerable time this would mean our Cost of Goods Sold on books would also be up substantially - it might not be up 30% but it would certainly be over 10%. I can easily see how book sales might be held up if special authority from the Governors was required to exceed this level in response to changed conditions.

R. Bowes: I support this idea but would suggest it be amended to include spending on items not covered by any expense categories listed in the budget.

G.Taylor: This seeks to impose a limit upon Executive discretionary spending. I agree with the principle. I still see opportunities for creative accounting, since allocating costs to "expense categories" can often be a subjective exercise. This

could however, hobble the Business Office by not allowing them funds to exploit an unplanned opportunity to purchase inventory at a bargain price. Getting approval from the Board is, as we all know, a very time consuming process.

Straw Vote 02-3

L.Craver: I believe strongly that provincial affiliates should emphasize to prospective candidates that the CFC is increasingly moving to doing business by e-mail and that those candidates without e-mail access should be encouraged to seek it. There are numerous free e-mail providers where free accounts can be created that can be accessed through Internet terminals at local public libraries.

I definitely think that the participation level among Governors is too low - I do not recall any motion voted on by the Assembly which had more than 35 votes cast (e.g. yea or nay votes combined). Given the total number of Governors this is unacceptably low.

As a CFC Executive member and a long-time Governor I resent the implication that the Executive has been usurping the authority of the Governors Assembly. This makes it sound like there is some kind of organized conspiracy at work which I can certainly tell you is not true. What is true is that the present Governors system is ineffective in making CFC policy and most of the "usurpation" takes place by default. It should be noted that this is the third GL of the year and we have yet to take a final vote on any issue! I don't think a ChessTalk type message board would be an appropriate way of doing Assembly business as I don't think non-Governors ought to be taking a direct part in our deliberations. (Although I would certainly encourage non-Governors to read what we do and talk to us - I routinely discuss GL topics with players at tournaments and elsewhere but seldom ever am approached by anyone other than another Governor on a current issue)

H. Brodie: Agreed. With Internet access available to just about anyone, all governors would be able to discuss an issue within a matter of days (hours?) - rather than waiting two months for the next GL.

G.Taylor: I don't understand the necessity for discussing a Straw Vote Topic twice. Is not the intent of a straw vote simply to float an idea, discuss it once, and then vote on it? Straw votes have no force if passed, except perhaps to direct future policy. By

requiring two discussions, the progress of a straw vote becomes as slow as a normal Motion, which is too damn slow! *[Any comments on this point?, ie SV topics to only have one discussion period: ed]*

2002 CANADIAN ZONAL CHAMPIONSHIP March 21st to April 1st, 2002 Organizers Report

Just for a short moment the organizers heart started to sink on Wednesday morning. On my way to pick up Jean Hebert and his family from the airport, all was white as far as the eye could see. Was it possible that we had to postpone the first round, on the first day of spring, in Vancouver, due to a snowstorm? Well, no the sun came out, the snow melted and the 76th edition of our Championship, going all the way back to 1872 in Hamilton, could start on time.

The tournament got underway with a well-attended players meeting, which lasted a little less than an hour. Organizers and Directors laid out the next 12 days proceedings and fielded questions from the players. This kind of meeting is very helpful as it put players, TD's and Organizers on the same "score sheet", so to speak.

The Opening Ceremony, sponsored by the Vancouver Airport Conference Resort Hotel, Coke, Inniskillin Winery, the Granville Island Brewery and Second Cup Coffee was presided over by the Mayor of Richmond, Malcolm Brodie. The Mayor performed the coin toss with Alexandre LeSiegé and Dale Haessel and afterwards made the ceremonial first move on board one.

Round one got underway at 3pm.

From a sporting point of view the tournament was quite exciting as the lead changed hand numerous times and the final outcome was in doubt til the last round.

The team of Tournament Directors Lynn Stringer, Mark Barnes, Lyle Craver and Serge Archambault presided over an event without incidents. The player's behavior throughout the eleven rounds was impeccable, despite the tensions and the high stakes. Good sportsmanship prevailed at all times.

Stephen Wright and Katherine Davies provided general assistance to the TD's and Stephen also entered all the games, flawlessly. These two also assisted Lyle Craver and Lynn Stringer in keeping the three demonstration boards up to date.

Knut Neven, who played in the tournament, updated the CFC's website after each round.

Bulletins were available on the net before midnight each day and in print prior to the next round. Pairings were made right after each round and published, giving the players the maximum time for next round preparations.

The team of Chris Herringer and Darren Lee provided live links to the net and the top two games were broadcasted via WCN, together with GM commentary. Pictures of the event were posted every day and WCN conducted and published a number of interesting interviews during the event.

The co-operation between TDs, WCN, Knut Neven, Katherine Davies and Stephen Wright throughout the event was simply superb and contributed greatly to a stress free environment. It was a real privilege for me to be associated with such a great team.

We averaged about 20 to 30 spectators a day who behaved splendidly and only twice a cell phone interrupted the quiet of the tournament room.

Press coverage was reasonable with TV and Radio stations as well as a number of newspaper articles. Pascal Charbonneau, our new Champion, got front-page coverage with, count them, four pictures!!

Congratulations to our new Champion who now joins this very select group of Juniors, who won the Canadian Closed.

Thanks to all who worked so hard to make this tournament run well, and thanks once again to all the players for coming and being such model sportsmen.

Peter Stockhausen
Organizer
2002 Canadian Closed
and Zonal Championship

Richmond, April 12th, 2002

**2002 CANADIAN ZONAL
Income Statement
DRAFT**

	Committed		Actual
Corporate Sponsors	\$ 7,000.00	39.1%	\$ 7,000.00
Entry Fees	\$ 6,500.00	36.3%	\$ 6,500.00
Private Donations	\$ 2,500.00	14.0%	\$ 2,500.00
CFC (Cash Portion Only)	\$ 1,900.00	10.6%	\$ 1,900.00
Total Revenue	\$ 17,900.00	100.0%	\$ 17,900.00
Prize Fund	\$ 11,000.00	61.6%	\$ 11,000.00
Playing Hall	\$ 3,000.00	16.8%	\$ 3,210.00
Receptions/Refreshments	\$ 1,000.00	5.6%	\$ 1,000.00
Miscellaneous	\$ 920.00	5.2%	\$ 592.00
TD Expenses	\$ 900.00	5.0%	\$ 900.00
Room	\$ 468.00	2.6%	\$ 368.00
Reception	\$ 300.00	1.7%	\$ 300.00
Rental Fee	\$ 200.00	1.1%	\$ 350.00
Rating Fee	\$ 72.00	0.4%	\$ 72.00
Total Expenses	\$ 17,860.00	100.0%	\$ 17,792.00
Surplus/(Deficit)	\$ 40.00	0.2%	\$ 108.00

Richmond, April 12th 2002

Motions for Vote

02-1 McKillop/Ficzere. Prioritizing CYCC Funding.

YES _____ NO _____ ABSTAIN _____

02-2 Craver/Craft. Removing non-member CFC Governors.

YES _____ NO _____ ABSTAIN _____

SV 02-3 Taylor. Feasibility study re on-line Governing of CFC.

YES _____ NO _____ ABSTAIN _____

Motions for 2nd Discussion

02-3 Gebhardt/McKillop. CFC accept bids for World Amateur Championship.

02-4 McKillop/Gebhardt. CFC Executive Candidate Submissions.

02-5 McKillop/Gebhardt. CFC Governor Submissions.

02-6 McKillop/Gebhardt. Finance Committee.

02-7 McKillop/Gebhardt. CFC Financial Statements.

02-8 McKillop/Gebhardt. Excess expenditures over budgetary amounts.

Motion for 1st Discussion

SV 02-4 Regimbald. Content of EP articles to be unedited.