


**CHESS FEDERATION OF CANADA
GOVERNORS' LETTER NINE
2005-2006**



Responses may be mailed, faxed or E-mailed to the Chess Federation of Canada, E-1 2212 Gladwin Crescent, Ottawa, ON, K1B 5N1, fax: 613-733-5209, E-Mail: info@chess.ca

ATTENTION ALL GOVERNORS: Anyone with an E-Mail address can have their Governors' Letter sent to them via E-Mail and save the CFC paper and postage costs. Please E-Mail info@chess.ca if interested.

Deadline for submissions to GL#10 is Tuesday June 13, 2006

President's Report

First of all I would like to congratulate all of our players for all their hard work so far (note: this was written before the closing of the Olympiad on June 4th – ed.) in Turin. For the information of the Governors, Yan Teplitsky was forced to withdraw at the last minute for family reasons, and he was replaced by Ron Livshits, whom I would like to thank for stepping in to help at the last minute.

I have decided that change is needed in the office and so I have given our ED, Peter Arseneau, notice that we will be replacing him starting August 1st. This was a difficult decision to reach and I do thank Peter for all his hard work and wish him the best for the future.

There has been a rumour started by a certain individual on the public discussion boards. Any suggestion that VP Josh Henson had any of his trip to Italy paid for by the CFC is completely false. And there really isn't anything more to be said about that, although I hope that in the future people will start giving the Executive (and anyone else) the benefit of the doubt, rather than believing what this certain individual says.

On to business. As per the CFC handbook, all motions to be voted on at the AGM must be mailed to the Governors no later than a month beforehand. As such we will do a special mailing of the agenda around that time. Therefore there will be a final deadline for any motions for 2005-2006, which will be June 13th.

All Governors should have received copies of our unaudited financial statements which were mistakenly omitted from the previous GL. Feel free to contact the office or myself for a copy if you did not get yours. (*These statements are Appendices 1 and 2 in the current GL respectively – ed.*)

Notice to Governors concerning the 2006 Annual General Meeting:

The CFC AGM will be held this year in Kitchener in the City Council Chambers at City Hall on July 17th and 18th, with the Outgoing AGM the first day and the Incoming AGM the second day.

The AGM will be broadcast online however we're not sure at this point how interactive that will be.

We will aim to get started each day at 9:30am, so we'll begin registering governors and proxies at 9am.

The organizers of the Canadian Open have assured me that all efforts will be made to allow those governors playing in U2000 sections to play their games in the evening on those two days. They could also elect to take one or two byes for the AGM.

From the Vice-President: (with the Olympic Team in Torino)

Dear Fellow Governors,

As many of you already know from Chris's emails, I have chosen not to seek reelection. This is mainly due to professional reasons in that I plan to continue working hard at TD Canada Trust and do not have the time to devote to the CFC that is required to properly oversee and produce results. Further, I plan to return to school in the next few years but I still have decisions to make on what type of Masters or professional degree to seek beyond my current Bachelor degree.

The team is doing well in Italy. There was a rough round a couple days ago against Malaysia, but with the expert advice of Lev, things are going well and I anticipate some strong results in the next few rounds. Hazel Smith has turned in a few excellent games, one of which I saw was completely a miracle. She is

developing into a fine player and I suspect that when she discovers a little more independence, and a little more confidence she will be quite a force. Indeed as Ron has suggested numerous times she could join the men's squad! :-) We should be particularly thankful to the sponsors for making it possible for the delegation to attend. Advertising at the Olympiad is incredible. Fiat, as a major sponsor has many vehicles with chess pieces painted on all over the playing site (I think I saw around 15 vehicles... all very nice, very classy). There is also a water sponsor (bottled water all over), and the City of Torino - which results in all passholders being granted free transit (bus) access. I intend to submit pictures I am taking here for publication in CEC, and those that do not make publication will be available online somewhere.

I would like to point out I firmly believe that we need to change from a paper based magazine to a web based magazine as soon as possible. There are many reasons to do so, but the two main reasons are 1) Cost and 2) technology.

I say technology in that the world is moving away from paper based publications and that much more can be done by the web. The cost of publishing pictures, games, and things is virtually nil on the web.

No longer would the magazine be subject to a limit on the number of pages, nor would we need to concern ourselves with the start up costs of printing the first X number of issues (I believe the first thousand or so issues is something like \$15,000 to \$20,000? Perhaps Peter would confirm this).

Switching to an online format, the CFC would reestablish itself as a leader in online chess publications (note: a few years ago, the CFC was revered for it's premiere national website, rivaled by none). Also the speed of distribution is maximally accelerated and the cost of distribution is virtually eliminated. No more using Canada Post (no more grumbling from BC - for example - about where their issue of CEC is). The ability to download the content directly to databases such as chessbase is a plus for strong players and club players alike.

In terms of reduction of membership fees, I believe the fees should remain the same for the time being (neither increase, nor decrease) as there will continue to be magazine costs due to contractual obligations. Peter can elaborate on this. Eventually the membership fee could be reduced, given proper economic analysis.

Therefore I request from the governors a motion proposing the gradual change, with necessary contractual obligations detailed to change the magazine format from paper based to electronic or web based. I strongly support such a motion.

Lastly, a special congratulations to Chris on his recent marriage! Please join me in wishing him all the best in his blessed union.

Sincerely,

Joshua Henson
Vice President

From the Secretary:

There are four appendices in this Governors' Letter, the first two being the unaudited April 30th Balance Sheet and Income Statement with the third being a letter from Governor John Rutherford. (These arrived the same afternoon I was finalizing GL#8. I had just sent the GL to the Business Office when I checked my e-mail and saw the financials with the result that the GL got sent out before I had a chance to make a

last minute revision to get them in!) The fourth is a bid from the Greater Toronto Chess League for the 2007 Canadian Junior Championship. It is intended that this bid be considered at the Annual General Meeting.

While the financials show a small surplus governors should note that these are unaudited financial statements and there are known expenses which will have to be included in the 2005-2006 financial year (ending 4/30/2006) that will almost certainly make this a deficit – the most obvious being the auditor’s fee itself.

The following is re-printed from GL#8 for the benefit of those provincial associations who may have missed it. To all Provincial presidents and secretaries: please get your lists of nominees for the 2006-2007 incoming Assembly of Governors in to the Office right away! If at all possible we would like to get e-mail addresses for each of these individuals. This is essential to ensure all incoming Governors get their AGM packages.

Please note that under Chris’ notice about motions for the Annual General Meeting. We are planning a shorter GL#10 which will include proxy forms and the meeting Agenda. We also intend to include all received motions for the AGM in your packages.

Lyle Craver

CFC Membership, April 30, 2006

	Family	Honorary	Junior	Jr Part	Life	Adult	Total	Per Capita	Calc. Govs	2006-2007 Governors
AB		1	27	27	26	177	258	231	4.62	5
BC		6	30	22	68	107	233	207	4.14	5
MB	2		7	2	5	61	77	72.5	1.45	2
NB	4		12	10	6	78	110	99	1.98	2
NL			1	10	6	22	39	33.5	0.67	1
NS			9	1	13	41	64	59	1.18	2
NT						1	1	1	0.02	1
NU					1	1	2	2	0.04	1
ON	9	22	116	106	155	687	1095	984	19.68	20
PE			1	1	2	6	10	9	0.18	1
QC		17	3		28	61	109	107.5	2.15	3
SK	1		10	6	13	29	59	51	1.02	2
YT					1	2	3	3	0.06	1
Totals	16	46	216	185	324	1273	2060	1859.5	37.19	46

From the Past President

I am deeply concerned about the notice to terminate the employment of our Executive Director, Mr. Peter Arsenau. I was asked by the Executive Director (ED) if I had heard what lead up to this. If I was discovered this is what I could proffer:

- 1) I was a member of the CFC Executive 2005-2006 serving as Past President of the CFC. Prior to that, I was the President of the CFC for two years.
- 2) My only regular duty on the 2005-2006 Executive was to meet with the ED approximately once a week and sign CFC cheques that had been authorized by the CFC President and CFC Treasurer via e-mail.
- 3) I was the individual member of the 2005-2006 CFC Executive that met the CFC ED most

frequently because I live in Ottawa. The CFC Secretary and the CFC Treasurer also met with the ED in Ottawa once each at different times.

- 4) The CFC Executive never discussed any performance issues concerning the ED. I have no knowledge of discussions the President of the CFC may have had with other individual members of the CFC Executive concerning these issues. I was never asked by any member of the CFC Executive to follow up with the ED or the CFC Office on any items that were not getting done.
- 5) The CFC Executive never had a performance review of the ED in the 2005-2006 term to date. The first I heard of any dissatisfaction with the ED's performance of his duties was when the ED himself read a portion of a letter from the CFC President addressed to him over the phone Friday, May 26, 2006. The President has not seen fit to circulate this letter to the Executive or any reasons for his decision.
- 6) When I served on the CFC Executive starting in 1998 for three years as both Secretary and Vice President, the President of the CFC would at times send me lists of items to work out with the office. Under the direction of the CFC President I settled disputes between the ED, other CFC Office staff and individual CFC members or CFC organizers. I undertook projects that the President had asked the CFC Office to do and they simply refused to undertake, i.e. publishing Chess the Hard Way. Other Ottawa members of the CFC Executive, at various times, would resolve various different issues with the ED of the CFC on behalf of the CFC President or individual members the CFC Executive.
- 7) The CFC has had difficulties retaining good staff. When I was President the then ED e-mailed the Executive his resignation April 16, 2004.

CFC Executive,

This email is notification of my 2 weeks notice.
My last day of work at the CFC office is April 30th, 2004.

Sincerely,

Gerry Litchfield
Chess Federation of Canada
Fédération canadienne des échecs
info@chess.ca

8) I was not supplied with reasons by Mr. Litchfield at the time of his resignation. Mr. Litchfield had obtained a double digit bonus and a double digit salary increase in June of 2003. Despite this agreement with the CFC, he kept asking me for further increases in salary. I refused to consider these requests/demands/justifications until after the end of the fiscal year (April 30, 2004). As a result, his relationship with me became strained. The day to day supervision of the administration of the CFC office was handled by the then Vice President, Mr. Stijn DeKerpel. Mr. Litchfield was asked by me to stay on longer at the CFC but he refused to consider any employment at the CFC after April 30th, 2004. Mr. Litchfield offered to come in as a consultant to the CFC at a rate of \$50 per hour. This was not something the CFC could afford, so little use was made of his offer.

9) The CFC, like other employers, incurs significant costs when staff turns over at the executive or professional level. A new ED at the CFC must learn a variety of tasks, some of which are complex. The CFC operates on a two year cycle dictated by the chess Olympiad and the FIDE Zonal. It takes time for the ED to move from tasks to managing the CFC. Our current ED finally moved to CFC over to QuickBooks this year. This was something our auditor recommended in 2001 or 2002.

10) CFC Governors can read statements from other Executives on this issue. No member of the CFC

Executive replied to my e-mail query on why we were doing this and what cause we had to terminate the employment of the current ED. I think this is highly inappropriate and does nothing to enhance the reputation of the CFC as an employer.

Halldor P. Palsson
Past President of CFC

RESULTS OF VOTING:

Motion 2006-09: (Moved Barry Thorvardson / Seconded Lyle Craver): Be it resolved that it be the policy of the Chess Federation of Canada hold its Zonals in odd-numbered years, effective 2007

Votes Yes: (12) Craft, Craver, Dénonmée, Dutton, Farges, Friesen, Gauer, Nikouline, Pacey, Smith, Thorvardson, Wu

Votes No (3) Barron, Bluvshstein, Stockhausen

Abstention (1) Ferner

Motion Passed

Chris Mallon: Since the movers of the motion have not yet proven that it will be allowed by FIDE, the motion will need to be repealed if it turns out that they won't allow it.

Michael Barron: I vote NO.

I believe that we should have an annual system of chess competitions – Club, Municipal, Regional, Provincial and National Championships every year.
It really could be strange if we ask our members to renew their membership only on odd-numbered years. What will we do in even-numbered years in this case?
But whether a Canadian Championship should be Zonal or not – it depends on the schedule of FIDE Championships. Now FIDE has announced 2-year cycle with next World Cup, where Canadian Zone has 1 spot, in November 2007.
The question arises: Who from 2 Canadian Champions will get this spot - Canadian Champion 2006 or Canadian Champion 2007 (assuming it could be 2 different players)?

Ilia Bluvshstein: The World Championship Cycle is not stable. There is no certainty that the cycle beyond 2007 will remain the same as it stands now. If the cycle changes after the coming elections of FIDE president and/or due to the other many variables, this motion would be irrelevant. We have just approved the Zonal for 2007 (motion 2006-11). In practice current motion sets next (after 2007) Zonal for 2009. I would suggest to return to this motion later. For example, if we vote for this (or similar) motion in spring 2007, bidders will have more than 2 years before the Zonal. Meanwhile I vote No.

Alexandre Nikouline: My only reservation is that FIDE can change the timing of the event the Zonals are played for.

Motion 2006-12 (Moved Christopher Mallon / Seconded by Patrick McDonald)

To remove from the CFC Handbook section 711.2 concerning Junior Ratings:

"711.2 Rating of Junior Events.

All pure Junior and Scholastic tournaments will be regular rated unless 50% or more of the participants have regular ratings over 1500, or the time control is less than 30 minutes per player per game. [See GL2 1999-2000, October 1999]"

Votes Yes (13) Barron, Bluvshtein, Craft, Craver, Dénomée, Dutton, Farges, Friesen, Nikouline, Pacey, Smith, Stockhausen, Thorvardson

Votes No (0)

Abstentions (3) Ferner, Gauer, Wu

Alexandre Nikouline: Yes Again with reservations. I think it would not change a thing in ratings dynamics, but it look absolutely harmless anyway.

**Motion 2006-13 (Moved Christopher Mallon / seconded by Lyle Craver)
THIS IS A CONSTITUTIONAL VOTE AND REQUIRES A 2/3 MAJORITY.**

That the CFC re-affiliate with the Canadian Correspondence Chess Association. As per an agreement in principle between the CFC President and the CCCA President, the CFC will remove the charge of \$1 per member for Correspondence players (By Law One, Section 11), and the CFC will reinstate the Governor position to be selected by the CCCA to represent them on the CFC Board of Governors. Both the CFC and the CCCA will endeavour to promote and support each others' events and encourage their members to join both organizations.

Votes Yes (16): Barron, Bluvshtein, Craft, Craver, Dénomée, Dutton, Farges, Ferner, Friesen, Gauer, Nikouline, Pacey, Smith, Stockhausen, Thorvardson, Wu

Votes No (1): Jaeger

Abstentions (0)

Motion Passed

Alexandre Nikouline: Yes Alexandre Nikouline: Without any reservations now.

MOTIONS FOR FINAL VOTE:

Motion 2006-14 Establishment of National Rating Committee (Moved by Hal Bond, Seconded Eddie Urquhart)

Whereas it is widely accepted that the CFC rating system is in need of both near term adjustments and an accurate longer term method of monitoring the rating system to ensure the ongoing integrity of the rating system.

Be it resolved that the CFC establish a National Rating Committee responsible for both implementing near term adjustments to the rating system and establishing a credible long term monitoring process.

Composition: The National Rating Committee will be chaired by Robert Hamilton and will include as voting members the President and the Rating Auditor.

The committee shall seek input from experienced individuals such as Jonathan Berry, Vlad Dobrich, John MacPhail and Eduardo Azmitia in implementing both near term adjustments and long term monitoring processes for the rating system.

Michael Barron: I support this Motion.

MOTIONS FOR SECOND DISCUSSION:

None

MOTIONS FOR FIRST DISCUSSION:

None

General Comments from Governors:

Michael Barron: Thanks to CFC Executive for keeping monthly schedule of Governors' Letters! Unfortunately, this time we again didn't see a CFC Office recent financial statement, to the contrary to President's Report.

I believe we should get such financial statement in every Governors' Letter with appropriate comments. Financial matters should be completely transparent.

As I understood from Patrick McDonald's comment, the following expenses related to WYCC 2005:
\$ 8,426 Registration Fee (with the French Organizers)
\$ 270 Registration penalty for 3 late entries
\$4,000 needs to go towards the WYCC registrations
should be paid back to the CFC by the parents of the additional players.

The same idea was expressed by Gerry Litchfield on ChessTalk message board:

"Thus we should see corresponding financial credits back into the 2005 CYCC fund as these parents pay (or donate?!) back into the fund. Where are these credits? If some parents are delinquent to pay their fees they are still invoiced (crediting the 2005 CYCC fund) and CFC eats that entry as a bad debt loss on its year's income. Parents should never have been allowed to register and attend without first paying CFC their share, and the 2005 CYCC fund is not penalized because CFC cannot administrate it's own program properly."

In any case we would like to see a detailed explanation and names of players who didn't pay their fees.

Wilf Ferner: I like to talk to you about CFC memberships, ordinary adults mostly. They are the annually renewing members which constitute the bulk of the CFC membership dues. As treasurer of the OCA (ending in May 04) I was able to collect the CFC/OCA annual membership lists from the CFC= Ontario only! I had the May 1/02, May 1/03, May 04, and (from Barry Thorvardson, OCA president) the May 01/05. Last summer I analysed those lists by asking some questions (only regarding the one year ordinary adult members). Well, I actually made up a label. First of all, I was curious as to what kind of numbers constituted the "core adult members" - the adult members which showed up on each of the lists (02, 03, 04, 05). In May 1/2002 there were 904 adult members. Of those 904 there were only 423 or 46.8% that showed up on all four annual lists. A sobering statistic. At the same time, the Ontario ordinary adult members went from 904 in 2002 to 787 in 2005, a drop of 23%.

I asked another question: What % of ordinary adult members (Ontario only) renew each year? That turned out to be approximately 68%. Now we come to the most important percentage: What percentage of ordinary adult members (Ontario only) new in one year will renew their membership the next year? new in 2003 but not on 2002: 252- of those 100 or 39.7% renewed in 2004 new in 2004 but not on 2003: 258- of those 95 or 36.8% renewed in 2005 To me these renewal %s mean that new people are coming to us each year but that too many new members are not renewing. Does that mean Our tournaments are not exciting enough?

Now you might say, what is this guy getting at, we know all those things. Well, I am trying to show that if we do some data mining with the CFC databases we can come up with specific trends or concentrations, and as a consequence can take some steps to improve things.

I did the analysis based on membership categories. It would be nice if we were collecting birthdays. We could then see what kind of age groups are coming in and which ones are leaving-I mean concentrations of age groups. If you say we cannot do this or do not want to do this, because of stricter privacy laws; well, you just collect birthyears only. Or we could wait until most members have a FIDE rating-the birthyear is given on those lists.

The call for membership drives is important, but even more important is the way our organizers formulate their tournaments. We need more pro-CFC organizers. The CFC should find ways and means to get all chess clubs to run CFC-rated tournaments. We need more co-operation by the CFC with organizers in all parts of Canada. We need better ways to advertise CFC-rated tournaments. I do not like the new "Chess Canada" magazine. It has eliminated tournament ads with full details. It now shows only web site references. That is too radical. There should also be the organizer's name and phone number.

Here is a very interesting analysis. In Ontario there are major regional affiliates within the OCA(Ontario Chess Association)- and I mention only two affiliates, the EOCA and the GTCL. This concerns only annually renewing adult memberships.

In 2002(on the May 1/02 CFC membership list) the EOCA had 194 paying adult members and in 2005(on the May 1/05 CFC membership list) the EOCA had 199 or an increase of 5 members or 2.6% . The GTCL, on the other hand, started with 420 paying adult members and in 2005 had only 319 or a decrease of 101 or 24%. Whoa! Any explanations? Maybe the EOCA did better because of the Grand Prix it has been running for many years. I think the regularity of several events, over a good part of their region, each year contributes to the stability of the membership. I wonder how the EOCA did on the 2006 database? Actually, that is easy to do, just sort the database by the membership types and the postal codes. The EOCA territory = postal codes starting with K.

I just remembered something: So if you are saying who cares about adults, we have all those juniors as members. Sorry to disappoint, from an earlier analysis, again Ontario only, and this time full junior(one year) and participating juniors: Ontario had 197 juniors in both categories on the 2002 membership list. Of those 197(easy to trace by membership number) only 65 or approximately 33% remained- irrespective of the membership category. Not a very loyal group, but I am told that this is normal.

Walter Friesen: I see Saskatchewan now qualifies for a second governor. Great.

About the FQE letter and our president's response. What can I say? Just that I think the CFC position is appropriate. But how can we convince the FQE that we are not fighting them?

To me the basic problem is that we (the CFC) see the Quebec group as representing the interests of the chess community there, within the bigger national interests. The FQE aspires to represent the interests of the chess community in Quebec, with Quebec outside Canada. The way the FQE phrases the question isyou are against Quebec sovereignty so we won't co-operate with you. Can we put the question another way? Let's get on with playing/promoting chess and let these other issues follow their own course. Perhaps our position can be expressed better? Our challenge is to get over this hurdle.

Of course this issue has been around for a long time. I seem to recall trying to deal with it in the 1970's when I represented New Brunswick.

Alex Lambruschini: The Post-Secondary Chess Coordinator position is open. His/her primary responsibility is to ensure the annual organization of the Canadian University Chess Championship. The good news is that the 2007 Canadian University Chess Championship is already being organized by the

University of Ottawa chess club. An ideal candidate to fill this position would be a Canadian post-secondary student with the time and interest to maintain and renew contacts with university chess clubs in Canada. Also, a CFC Governor would be a good candidate to take on this responsibility. The basic responsibilities are outlined on the website www.freewebs.com/cpsca/index.htm - follow the "Constitution" link. I will continue to fulfill this position until someone else is willing to take on the responsibility. Please get in touch with me by email 2ahl@qmlink.queensu.ca if interested."

Maurice Smith: There has been a lot of concern raised about the sharp decline in adult members. There are two reasons for this.

First of all, the decline is generally in the class players, the A,B and C classes. These players are flocking to the internet. The problem is that there is little incentive for them to play in tournaments and even in clubs. Players have told me several reasons they have gone to the internet. "I don't have to face someone with bad breath."

"I won't get kicked under the table." "I don't have to go home at 11.00 o'clock." Plus the main reason for playing on the internet and not in tournaments "I don't have to subsidize other players. Before the internet became so popular, class players told me they hated to play in tournaments where the top section got a huge portion of the prize fund. They usually played though, because there was not much of an alternative. Now that has all changed.

However, organizers have not reacted to the times. Some tournaments have the top section with even a higher percentage of the prize funds and little left for the class players. That may not seem all that bad if the same organizers ran class tournaments specifically for the A, B and C players. Generally speaking this does not happen.

So are we surprised that we are losing all these players?

The class players have been the backbone of Canadian chess for many years. They were always the largest group and they supplied more membership fees, more rating fees, bought more chess supplies and subsidized more masters than anyone else. Now that backbone is disintegrating and organizers have not responded. The solution is to organize more tournaments specifically for the class players and also give them a fair shake when they play in open tournaments. As I write this the Ontario Open is coming up and the organizers have done an excellent job. There is not a big deal of difference of prize money between the sections. Unfortunately I have a family birthday get-together on the holiday Monday and I cannot participate. The bottom line is if there is no incentive for the class players, they will not participate as much as they have in the past. Canadian chess will suffer, the CFC will suffer and even masters will suffer as there will be fewer players to subsidize them to any degree.

Appendices

Appendix 1: CFC Balance Sheet (see PDF file)

Appendix 2: CFC Profit & Loss (see PDF file)

Appendix 3: Rutherford Letter

Appendix 4: Canadian Junior Bid

12:29 PM
 05/09/06
 Accrual Basis

The Chess Federation of Canada
Balance Sheet
 As of April 30, 2006

	Apr 30, 06
ASSETS	
Current Assets	
Chequing/Savings	
1070 - Cash On Hand	1,049.20
1130 - Royal Bank Chequing Acct	23,944.59
Total Chequing/Savings	24,993.79
Accounts Receivable	
1210 - Accounts Receivable	18,628.36
1280 - Reserve for Doubtful A/R	-719.61
Total Accounts Receivable	17,908.75
Other Current Assets	
1366 - Prepaid Exp. (Awards)	483.00
1365 - Prepaid Exp.(Domain Registr.)	93.75
1364 - Prepaid Exp.(Mailing machine)	1,000.00
1362 - Prepaid Exp.(Municipal Tax)	1,987.15
1361 - Prepaid Exp.(Insurance)	1,442.79
1341 - 50% GST Recoverable	4,420.87
1356 - Suspence	477.69
1120 - Inventory Asset	109.27
1300 - Inventory - Books	21,942.24
1320 - Inventory - Equipment	54,027.48
1340 - Inventory - Software	7,726.23
1350 - Allowance for Unsale Inven	-8,500.00
1355 - Inventory-Membership Card	4,089.88
Total Other Current Assets	89,300.35
Total Current Assets	132,202.89
Fixed Assets	
1700 - Land	20,000.00
1710 - Building	145,501.64
1750 - Accum Dep-Building	-76,702.73
1800 - Furniture & Equipment	5,000.00
1820 - Accum Dep - F & E	-4,440.02
1830 - Computers & Peripherals	9,224.10
1835 - Accum Dep - Comp. & Periph	-8,161.78
1840 - Accum Dep National Library	-6,217.18
1900 - Nation Library	8,240.00
Total Fixed Assets	92,444.03
TOTAL ASSETS	224,646.92

12:29 PM
 05/09/06
 Accrual Basis

The Chess Federation of Canada
Balance Sheet
 As of April 30, 2006

	Apr 30, 06
LIABILITIES & EQUITY	
Liabilities	
Current Liabilities	
Accounts Payable	
2210 · Accounts Payable	3,776.37
Total Accounts Payable	3,776.37
Other Current Liabilities	
2653 · 2006 CYCC Holding	3,475.11
2680 · Unearned Revenue	38,956.08
2676 · 2005 Canadian Open	15.00
2675 · Canad Closed 2004 Entries	92.52
2674 · Women's Closed Entries	24.94
2672 · 2005CanadianJunior Entries	1,200.00
2671 · Canadian Closed Entries	900.00
2669 · 2006 Canadian Open	6,075.00
2668 · CCE Patron Program Payable	1,376.29
2666 · FIDE Fees Holding	207.00
2665 · Advance on Purchases	286.52
2660 · Kalev Pugi Fund	1,712.88
2654 · 2005 CYCC Holding	-137.19
2633 · Olympic Donations	889.13
2620 · Donations Holding	600.00
2590 · Nova Scotia Chess Assoc.	115.00
2580 · Manitoba Chess Assoc.	159.00
2560 · Newfoundland Chess Assoc.	24.00
2550 · Saskatchewan Chess Assoc.	65.00
2540 · New Brunswick Chess Assoc.	115.00
2530 · BC Chess Federation	463.75
2520 · Alberta Chess Assoc.	300.00
2510 · Ontario Chess Assoc.	1,834.00
2450 · Affiliate Rebates	31.40
2300 · Vacation Payable	743.03
2290 · GST Recoverable	-69.21
2150 · Vouchers Payable	2,528.89
2151 · ICC Vouchers	345.53
2240 · PST Payable	198.42
2270 · Chess Foundation	-750.00
Total Other Current Liabilities	61,777.09
Total Current Liabilities	65,553.46
Total Liabilities	65,553.46

12:29 PM
05/09/06
Accrual Basis

The Chess Federation of Canada
Balance Sheet
As of April 30, 2006

	<u>Apr 30, 06</u>
Equity	
3560 - Retained Earnings	159,388.66
Net Income	<u>-295.20</u>
Total Equity	<u>159,093.46</u>
TOTAL LIABILITIES & EQUITY	<u><u>224,646.92</u></u>

12:33 PM
 05/09/06
 Accrual Basis

The Chess Federation of Canada
Profit & Loss
 May 2005 through April 2006

	May '05 - Apr 06
Ordinary Income/Expense	
Income	
4230 · C.C.E. Magazine Income	1,212.75
4380 · Shipping & Handling	7,210.15
4260 · Donations Revenue	102,662.41
4220 · Other Interest	-1.61
4210 · Interest From Foundation	4,805.55
4152 · FIDE Rating Fees Income	403.40
4150 · Rating Fees	24,115.90
4135 · Tournament Membership Fees	2,270.00
4130 · Membership Fees	58,992.44
4060 · Software Sales	18,501.12
4040 · Equipment Sales	79,839.09
4020 · Book Sales	43,542.99
Total Income	343,554.19
Cost of Goods Sold	
5250 · Software Purchases	11,887.69
5210 · Equipment Purchases	45,202.59
5150 · Other Selling Costs	1,381.94
5130 · Courier - Books and Equip.	11,216.61
5110 · Book Purchases	28,066.17
Total COGS	97,755.00
Gross Profit	245,799.19
Expense	
5910 · Advertising - CCE	-656.25
5892 · Contracting CCE Editorship	12,000.00
5891 · C.C.E. News Stand Expense	588.50
5890 · Chess Canada Echecs	33,068.29
5871 · WYCC 2004	1,250.00
5870 · Canadian Tournament Exp.	3,268.13
5840 · Grants to Clubs	5,442.00
5830 · FIDE Title Application Fee	846.00
5760 · Other Int'l Expenses	87,096.37
5740 · FIDE Rating Fees Expense	581.00
5730 · Other General Admin.	203.68
5670 · Other Executive Expense	272.03
5665 · Scholar's Mate Magazine	1,722.52
5630 · Depreciation - Nat. Library	531.19
5620 · Depreciation - Computers	496.44
5610 · Depreciation - Eqpmt	146.76
5600 · Depreciation-Bldg.	2,879.84
5580 · Repair and Maintenance	7,578.65
5560 · Office Insurance	1,447.56
5540 · Municipal Taxes	4,397.64
5520 · Bank Charge	862.14

12:33 PM
05/09/06
Accrual Basis

The Chess Federation of Canada
Profit & Loss
May 2005 through April 2006

	<u>May '05 - Apr 06</u>
5510 · Credit Card Charge	5,693.98
5500 · Utilities	2,498.42
5492 · Contracting- casual labour	4,805.94
5480 · Professional Fees	487.50
5450 · Advertising & Promotion	26.50
5440 · Membership Cards	-62.58
5420 · Telecomm	4,088.37
5410 · Postage - Admin. Expense	3,607.34
5400 · Office Supplies	5,847.39
5330 · WSIB Expense	707.06
5320 · Payroll Expense	105.85
5310 · Inventory Adjustment	-2,094.62
5302 · EI Expense	1,415.59
5301 · CPP Expense	2,293.35
5300 · Wages	57,536.52
5710 · Exchange Gain/Loss	6.68
Total Expense	<u>250,985.78</u>
Net Ordinary Income	-5,186.59
Other Income/Expense	
Other Income	
4385 · Other Revenue	4,891.39
Total Other Income	<u>4,891.39</u>
Net Other Income	4,891.39
Net Income	<u><u>-295.20</u></u>

Central Canada Chess League



C.C.C.L.

Box 29132
Thunder Bay, ON
P7B 6P9
(807) 622-6773

chessguy_ch@yahoo.ca



C.C.C.L.

For GL

May 29, 2006

To: OCA Executive, CFC Executive and all CFC members

I was very surprised on May 22 (my birthday) just prior to the fifth Round of the Ontario Open to receive a certificate honouring my volunteer efforts in the North. So surprised that I was speechless - something that does not occur very often. But I wanted to thank many people: I do not accomplish anything up here in the North without the efforts of many others.

First of all, there are many committed volunteers in Northern Ontario - teachers, students, parents, grandparents, sponsors, other interested individuals - without whose help, I'd be a frazzled mess!

Also I am very grateful for the support I have received over the years from the highest levels of the OCA and the CFC both organizations I am very committed to.

As a Tournament Director, I especially applaud the performance of our Executive Director Peter Arseneau. More than 50 tournament reports are submitted from Northern Ontario Chess League each year and, for us, Peter makes the whole effort all worthwhile. It's a pleasure to deal with Head Office with him there!

Again, thank you all for your gift to me.

Yours truly,

John Rutherford
CFC Life Member 102198

Appendix 4: GTCL 2007 Canadian Junior Bid

**CHES FEDERATION OF CANADA
2007 Canadian Junior Chess Championship Bid**

Organizing Body	Greater Toronto Chess League
Chief Organizer	Michael Barron President, GTCL
Organizing Committee	The GTCL 2007 Junior Committee
Advertising	3 issues of Chess Canada Echecs (free advertising to be provided by CFC for this event) Internet, Newspapers, Magazines
Publicity	EXTENSIVE PUBLIC RELATIONS –Pre-Event Starting October – Onsite Live Coverage -- Post Event Updates
Internet Site/ Coverage	Will have Daily Internet Updates
City	Toronto
Address	Bayview Games Club 1681 Bayview Avenue Suite 202
Registration	Advance Registration, prior to 24 December 2006, Late registration additional \$10 up to 6 PM EST on Tuesday, 2 January 2007 subject to availability. The GTCL reserves the right to limit participants to 60 players, and to guarantee space for last years Canadian Junior Champion, last years Runner-up and for each province (and the Territories) up to 3 representatives including their provincial Junior Champion, and up to 6 additional players at the discretion of the GTCL.
Dates	January 2-7, 2007
Format	6 Round Swiss
Time Controls	G/90 plus 30 seconds per move

Rounds	6 rounds, 1 game per day starting at 6:30 PM, Tuesday 2 January to Sunday 7 January 2007
Playoffs	Monday, January 8th if required
Rated By	CFC and FIDE
Equipment Provided	All equipment including clocks provided by the Bayview Games Club (if necessary, additional clocks could be borrowed from OCA).
Prizes	Winner will be Canada's representative at the World Junior (paid for). Top Girl will be invited to compete for Canada at the World Girls (not paid for).
Entry Fees	\$150 (cash or cheques received by Dec. 24, 2006) Late Fee: on day of tournament \$10 extra. \$100 from each entry will be transferred to CFC for World Junior expenses.
TD	Vlad Dobrich
Side Events	none.

Budget	
Budget	Revenues
	- Entry fees (20x\$150) \$3,000
	Total Revenues \$3,000
	Expenses
	- Prizes (20x\$100) \$2,000
	- Advertising \$ 200
	- TD Fees \$ 500
	- Meeting Space \$ 200
	- Misc \$ 100
	Total Expenses \$3,000
CFC to pay for CFC and FIDE ratings, and to provide free advertising in Chess Canada Echecs	
Date Submitted:	5 June, 2006
Submitted by:	Michael Barron
Accepted by:	

Motions for Final Vote:

Motion 2006-14 Establishment of National Rating Committee (Moved by Hal Bond, Seconded Eddie Urquhart)

Whereas it is widely accepted that the CFC rating system is in need of both near term adjustments and an accurate longer term method of monitoring the rating system to ensure the ongoing integrity of the rating system.

Be it resolved that the CFC establish a National Rating Committee responsible for both implementing near term adjustments to the rating system and establishing a credible long term monitoring process.

Composition: The National Rating Committee will be chaired by Robert Hamilton and will include as voting members the President and the Rating Auditor.

The committee shall seek input from experienced individuals such as Jonathan Berry, Vlad Dobrich, John MacPhail and Eduardo Azmitia in implementing both near term adjustments and long term monitoring processes for the rating system.

Vote Yes No Abstain

Motions for Second Discussion:

None

Motions for First Discussion:

None

Deadline for submissions to GL#10 is Tuesday June 13, 2006
Responses may be mailed, faxed or E-mailed to the Chess Federation of
Canada, E-1 2212 Gladwin Crescent, Ottawa, ON, K1B 5N1, fax: 613-733-
5209, E-Mail: info@chess.ca